

**Argosy University
College of Creative Arts and Design
Interior Design Bachelor of Science Assessment Review
FY17 (2016-2017)**

To support program quality and integrity, the Hollywood campus of the College of Art and Design’s Interior Design bachelor program undergoes annual assessment reviews. Below are some statistics, findings, interventions and outcomes related to those reviews. If you have any questions, please contact Dr. Melinda Lester, Senior Director of Institutional Effectiveness at 714-338-4211 or mlester@aii.edu.

By The Numbers		FY16	FY17	FY18
Annual Enrollment		26	24	19
Annual Enrollment Demographics: Race and/or Ethnicity				
American Indian or Alaska Native			1	
Asian		1	3	2
Black or African American		3		1
Hispanic/Latino		10	7	5
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			1	
Race and Ethnicity Unknown		5	4	3
Two or more races		1	3	2
White		6	5	6
Gender				
Female		18	16	13
Male		8	7	3
Annual Graduation (Total Headcount)				
		8	8	6
Completion Rate - (Expected program length = 12 quarters or 132 weeks)	On Time – % of students who completed the program within 100% of the expected program length.	21.2%	2.9%	17.9%
	150% – % of students who completed the program within 150% of the expected program length.	17.0%	37.7%	17.8%
	200% – % of students who completed the program within 200% of the expected program length.	15.4%	23.5%	17.0%
Job Placement (Headcount of Students Available)				
		7	8	N/A*
Job Placement Rates (% of Students who accepted a position within 6 months of graduation)				
		42.9%	62.5%	N/A*

*Not Yet Reported

FY17 Achievement of Program Outcomes

The annual review process includes direct and indirect assessments, with rubrics, tests, surveys and metrics used to measure the success of the Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for each program. The categories included herein to compile the results are *Mostly Successful*, *Needs Improvement*, *Not Successful* and *Insufficient or No Data*.

The category of “*Mostly Successful*” required the program or department to have the majority of their goals achieved (75% and above). The category of “*Needs Improvement*” was indicated where 50-74% of the program goals showed some success. The “*Not Successful*” category was indicated when a program goal was not meeting the success rate indicated in the Criteria for Success for that SLO at 49% or below. “*Insufficient Data*” was indicated when there was not enough information about the program goal to make a decision about the overall goal. This would include not having enough graduates, a newer program at the campus, or results not reported.

S	Mostly Successful - Combined scores at 75% and above	NI	Needs Improvement - Combined scores at 50-74%	N	Not Successful – Combined scores 49% and below	ND	No Data
----------	--	-----------	---	----------	--	-----------	----------------

Outcome 1. Design Theory: Graduates solve complex interior design problems using the design process and their knowledge of principles, theories and applications to analyze the client profile and project program, both individually and collaboratively.

Measure	Criteria for Success	# Students Assessed	Results
1a. Portfolio Review: Faculty developed scoring rubrics (end of program and two at appropriate points during the program).	Assessment results should average a 3.5 on a scale of 1.0 – 5.0 on appropriate components of the portfolio assessment.	9	4.8

Outcome 2. Communication: Graduates provide interior design services using effective oral, written, and visual communication employing a variety of means, methods and technologies, in both 2- and 3-dimensions.

Measure	Criteria for Success	# Students Assessed	Results
2a. Portfolio Review: Faculty developed scoring rubrics (end of program and two at appropriate points during the program).	Assessment results should average a 3.5 on a scale of 1.0 – 5.0 on appropriate components of the portfolio assessment.	9	4.8

Outcome 3. Building Systems: Graduates produce interior design solutions that constructively integrate with available building, environmental, and property management systems, as well as prevailing codes and standards of use, maintenance and sustainability.

Measure	Criteria for Success	# Students Assessed	Results
3a. Portfolio Review: Faculty developed scoring rubrics (end of program and two at appropriate points during the program).	Assessment results should average a 3.5 on a scale of 1.0 – 5.0 on appropriate components of the portfolio assessment.	9	4.8

Outcome 4. Interior Finish Materials: Graduates specify and apply to their solutions, finish materials that meet prevailing standards of use, maintenance, sustainability, regulatory compliance, and aesthetics.

Measure	Criteria for Success	# Students Assessed	Results
4a. Portfolio Review: Faculty developed scoring rubrics (end of program and two at appropriate points during the program).	Assessment results should average a 3.5 on a scale of 1.0 – 5.0 on appropriate components of the portfolio assessment.	9	4.9
4b. PAC Committee feedback	85% of PAC committee (and employers) feedback survey at the portfolio show indicates that student work is appropriate.	N/A	ND

Outcome 5. Professionalism: Graduates provide professional services based on their body of knowledge with a standard of care that meets both client needs and protects the health, safety, and welfare of the public in an ethical and legal manner.

Measure	Criteria for Success	# Students Assessed	Results
5a. Portfolio Review: Faculty developed scoring rubrics (end of program and two at appropriate points during the program).	Assessment results should average a 3.5 on a scale of 1.0 – 5.0 on appropriate components of the portfolio assessment.	9	4.8
5b. Internship evaluation of students	90% of internship evaluations will demonstrate that students have acquired the appropriate knowledge, skills, and behaviors.	7	94%

Closing the Loop: Results of FY17 Action Plan and Use of Results

Outcome	Main Issue	Action Taken	Results of Action Taken
4	Program Advisory Committee (PAC) meetings have not been held this year.	<p>A new process identifying a minimum of one PAC meeting per year will be implemented.</p> <p>Working closely with the faculty and students, the campus will ensure they are being supported within their learning environment.</p> <p>Schedule PAC meetings, throughout the year, to have industry assess the programs.</p>	PAC meetings will be scheduled for industry professionals to provide assessment of student skill levels.
	<p>Improve Retention</p> <p>Being a small program, the students in the program need to be supported to assure they are able to persist in the courses through graduation.</p>	<p>Retention rates have fluctuated over the past few years from 63.8% to 61.4% to 72.9%.</p> <p>With the BrightSpace modules being implemented, more specific information will be available to track students issues and needs.</p> <p>Additionally, faculty in-service training on the modules and the importance of availability will be implemented.</p>	With the introduction of BrightSpace modules in the student information system, the faculty training on updating their course shells in a timely basis has helped with this issue.